

Reader's Report Form
Franciscan University Press

Author, Title: _____

To the Reader: In your evaluation please do the following:

1. summarize the author's thesis and principal arguments;
2. explain the nature of the author's contribution to the study of the topic and judge whether that contribution is significant;
3. evaluate the author's scholarship. (Is it sound? Have the proper sources been used? Are quotations and translations accurate? Is the documentation proper and sufficient?);
4. compare the manuscript with other books with which it could be expected to compete;
5. comment on the author's writing style;
6. consider the author's audience (What is the principal audience? Are there any secondary audiences? Would it have any appeal for use in college-level courses? Would it appeal to a wider, more general audience?).

We are also interested in any other comments that you may wish to make. Your candid opinion will be appreciated and treated in the strictest confidence, unless you indicate that you do not wish to remain anonymous.

Please complete the recommendation section below and fill out the rest of this form (fields will expand as you type). Finally please mail or e-mail this form, your CV, and your evaluation within about four to six weeks to Stephen Hildebrand, Ph.D., Acquisitions Editor, Franciscan University of Steubenville, 1235 University Blvd. Steubenville, OH 43952, shildebrand@franciscan.edu.

Reader Information

Name: _____

Position: _____

Institution: _____

Mailing Address: _____

Please type an "x" in one:

I wish to remain anonymous.

I do not wish to remain anonymous.

Please describe briefly your own work in the field: _____

Recommendation

Please type an "x" in one of the boxes below.

- I recommend publication of the manuscript as it stands.
- I recommend publication of the manuscript after revision in light of the suggestions made herein. These revisions should be left to the discretion of the author.
- I recommend publication of the manuscript after revision in light of the suggestions made herein. The revised manuscript should be evaluated again before it is accepted for publication.
- I do not recommend publication.